

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES  
PARCEL RETURN SERVICE  
PARCEL RETURN SERVICE CONTRACT 2

Docket No. MC2011-6

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES  
PARCEL RETURN SERVICE CONTRACT 2 (MC2011-6)  
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2011-33

**MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
FOR TEMPORARY RELIEF**  
(October 22, 2013)

In PRC Order No. 85, the Commission noted that it “stands ready to act quickly on requests for temporary relief based on extenuating circumstances” that threaten to interrupt the provision of rates to a customer as a result of the regulatory process and the statutory requirement of 39 U.S.C. § 3632(b)(3).<sup>1</sup> The customer whose competitive product rates were established in this docket wishes to renew its commitment to utilizing Parcel Return Service for the next year at negotiated rates. By the terms of the contract filed in this docket, the current rates will expire on October 31, 2013.

The Postal Service and the customer have been working cooperatively on a new agreement, which would have avoided any interruption in the Postal Service’s ability to provide this customer access to negotiated rates. However, because of extenuating circumstances, the Postal Service and the customer were unable to reach an

---

<sup>1</sup> PRC Order No. 85, Order Concerning Global Plus Negotiated Service Agreements, June 27, 2008, at 10 (Order No. 85). In relevant part, 39 U.S.C. § 3632(b)(3) requires that rates not of general applicability established for competitive products be filed with the Commission at least 15 days in advance of their effective date.

agreement on the terms of the new contract in time for the Postal Service to file the new agreement 15 days prior to the intended effective date.

Nevertheless, the Postal Service and the customer have reached agreement on a new contract, and the Postal Service expects to file the new agreement with the Commission later this week. Because of the timing of the regulatory process, there will be a window between the expiration of the existing contract in this docket and the effective date of the new contract, while the Commission completes its review. Thus, the customer now faces the prospect of an interruption in its access to negotiated rates as a result of the processes that it must participate in when it does business with the Postal Service, rather than with one of the Postal Service's competitors.

To avoid this result, the Postal Service respectfully requests that the Commission grant a brief extension to allow the existing contract to remain in effect until such time as the Commission is able to complete its review of the new agreement. Thus, the proposed extension would only last for a finite and relatively short period of time. In conclusion, for the reasons discussed above, and in consideration of the customers' business continuity, the Postal Service hereby moves for temporary relief to allow the existing contract to remain on the competitive products list until the effective date for the new contract is established.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.

Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-3179, Fax -6187  
[elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov](mailto:elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov)  
October 22, 2013